

# Free convolution semigroups and coefficient stripping

Michael Anshelevich

Texas A&M University

March 29, 2014

# Notation.

Cauchy transform

$$G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-x} d\mu(x),$$

$F$ -transform

$$F_\mu(z) = \frac{1}{G_\mu(z)}.$$

**Definition.** (Belinschi, Nica)

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2, \quad F_{\Phi[\nu]}(z) = z - G_\nu(z).$$

Note

$$m(\Phi[\nu]) = 0, \quad \text{Var}(\Phi[\nu]) = 1.$$

# Left inverse of $\Phi$ .

## Definition.

*Coefficient stripping* is the map

$$J : \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 \mid \text{Var}(\mu) > 0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}, \quad F_\mu(z) = z - \beta - \gamma G_{J[\mu]}(z),$$

where  $\beta = m(\mu)$ ,  $\gamma = \text{Var}(\mu)$ .

$J$  strips off the first pair of Jacobi parameters.

# Warm-up: Boolean convolution semigroups.

A Boolean convolution semigroup

$$\{\mu^{\uplus t} : t \geq 0\}$$

is determined by

$$z - F_{\mu^{\uplus t}} = t(z - F_{\mu}).$$

Then

$$(t\beta + t\gamma G_{J[\mu^{\uplus t}]}(z)) = z - F_{\mu^{\uplus t}}(z) = t(z - F_{\mu}(z)) = t(\beta + \gamma G_{J[\mu]}(z))$$

and so

$$J[\mu^{\uplus t}] = J[\mu].$$

# Free convolution semigroups.

Denoting  $\varphi_\mu(z) = F_\mu^{-1}(z) - z$ , a free convolution semigroup

$$\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$$

is determined by

$$\varphi_{\mu_t}(z) = t\varphi_\mu(z).$$

Free convolution semigroups with finite variance are in bijection with canonical triples

$$\{(\beta, \gamma, \rho) : \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma > 0, \rho \in \mathcal{P}\} \cup \{(\beta, 0, \cdot) : \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

via (Maassen)

$$\varphi_{\mu_t}(z) = \beta t + \gamma t G_\rho(z).$$

For  $F^{-1}$ , not  $F$ .

## Stripping a free convolution semigroup.

### Proposition. (A)

If  $\{\mu_t\}$  is a free convolution semigroup with finite positive variance,

$$J[\mu_t] = \rho \boxplus \sigma_{\beta, \gamma}^{\boxplus t},$$

where  $\sigma_{\beta, \gamma}$  is the semicircle law with mean  $\beta$  and variance  $\gamma$ .

**Proof.** Will prove for mean zero, variance one.

Recall the Belinschi-Nica transformations

$$\mathbb{B}_t[\mu] = \left( \mu^{\boxplus(1+t)} \right)^{\uplus \frac{1}{1+t}},$$

for which  $\mathbb{B}_1 = \mathbb{B}$ , the Boolean-to-free Bercovici-Pata bijection.

# Stripping a free convolution semigroup.

Belinschi and Nica proved that

$$\mathbb{B}_t[\Phi[\rho]] = \Phi[\rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus t}].$$

Note that the Maassen representation  $\varphi_\mu(z) = G_\rho(z)$  says

$$\mu = \mathbb{B}[\Phi[\rho]].$$

So

$$\mathbb{B}_{t-1}[\mu] = \Phi[\rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus t}],$$

$$\mu^{\boxplus t} = \Phi[\rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus t}]^{\boxplus t},$$

and

$$J[\mu_t] = \rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus t}.$$

# Inverse coefficient stripping.

What about inverse coefficient stripping?

For what  $\tilde{\mu}_t$  is

$$J[\tilde{\mu}_t] = \tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$$

for other  $\tau \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$ ?



## More notation.

**Definition.** (Bożejko, Speicher)

Define  $\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}, \mu}$  via

$$(\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}, \mu} \circ F_{\mu})(z) + F_{\tilde{\mu}}(z) = z.$$

A *two-state free convolution semigroup* is a family  $\{(\tilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$  such that

$$\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t} = t\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}, \mu}, \quad \varphi_{\mu_t} = t\varphi_{\mu}.$$

**Definition.** (Lenczewski, Nica)

The *subordination distribution*  $\mu \boxplus \nu$  is the unique probability measure such that

$$G_{\mu \boxplus \nu}(z) = G_{\nu}(F_{\mu \boxplus \nu}(z)).$$

# Inverse coefficient stripping I.

## Proposition.

Let  $\tilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$ . Denote

$$\mu_t = \tau^{\boxplus t} \boxplus \tilde{\rho},$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_t = \Phi[\tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}]^{\uplus t}.$$

Then  $\{(\tilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$  form a two-state free convolution semigroup and

$$J[\tilde{\mu}_t] = \tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}.$$

The converse is not true.

Reason:  $\tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$  may “make sense” even if  $\tau \notin \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$ .

# Examples.

## Example.

If  $\tilde{\rho} = \nu \boxplus \tau$ , then

$$\tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t} = \nu \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus(1+t)}.$$

## Example. (A, Belinschi)

Suppose  $\tau$  is supported in a small neighborhood of 0, so that  $\varphi_\tau$  is small outside of the unit disk. Bercovici, Voiculescu  $\Rightarrow$

$$\sigma \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t} \text{ positive for } t < 1.$$

But also for  $t \geq 1$ .

# Examples.

$\tau$  need not even be positive.

## Example.

Free Binomial distributions distributions:  $\nu_\gamma$ , positive for  $\gamma \geq 1$ , defined as linear functionals for  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ .

$$\nu_{\gamma_1} \boxplus \nu_{\gamma_2} = \nu_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\rho} = \nu_c : c \geq 1.$$

$$\tau = \nu_\gamma : 0 < \gamma < 1.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t} = \nu_{c + \gamma t} : c + \gamma t \geq 1.$$

This is positive for all  $t \geq 0$ , while  $\tau$  is not.

## Inverse coefficient stripping II.

### Theorem. (A)

Let  $\tilde{\rho}, \tau \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\tau \boxplus \tilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$ . Then

$$\tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$$

is well-defined (positive) for all  $t \geq 0$ . Denote

$$\mu_t = (\tau \boxplus \tilde{\rho})^{\boxplus t},$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_t = \Phi[\tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}]^{\uplus t}.$$

Then  $\{(\tilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$  form a two-state free convolution semigroup and

$$J[\tilde{\mu}_t] = \tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}.$$

# Subordination.

## Question.

Suppose  $\tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$  is positive for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Does this imply that  $\tau \boxplus \tilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$ ?

Equivalently, does this imply that  $F_{\tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}}$  is subordinate to  $F_{\tilde{\rho}}$  for all  $t > 0$ ?

## Partial converse.

### Theorem. (A)

Let  $\{(\tilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$  be a two-state free convolution semigroup of *compactly supported* measures. Then there exist  $\tilde{\rho}, \omega \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $p > 0$  such that

$$J[\tilde{\mu}_t] = \tilde{\rho} \boxplus \omega^{\boxplus(t/p)}.$$

Here  $\tau = \omega^{\boxplus(1/p)}$  need not be positive.

## Partial converse.

**Proof.** Compactly supported measures  $\Rightarrow$  linear functionals.

Algebraic argument  $\Rightarrow$

$J[\tilde{\mu}_t] = \tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$  for  $\tau$  linear, unital, not necessarily positive,  
 $\text{Var}(\tau) = \text{Var}(\mu) > 0$ .

Then Bercovici, Voiculescu  $\Rightarrow$

there exists a  $p > 0$  such that  $D_{1/\sqrt{p}}\tau^{\boxplus p}$  is positive

$\Rightarrow \tau^{\boxplus p}$  is positive.



## Additional examples.

### Example.

Let  $\mu_t$  be a general free convolution semigroup. Then

$$J[\tilde{\mu}] = \mu_t,$$

where  $\{(\tilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t)\}$  are the (distributions of) two-state free Brownian motions.

### Example.

Recall that for  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $J[\mu_t] = \rho \boxplus \sigma_{\beta, \gamma}^{\boxplus t}$ .

What if  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\sigma_{\beta, 0}^{\boxplus t} = \delta_{\beta t}$ ? Answer:  $J[\tilde{\mu}] = \tilde{\rho} \boxplus \delta_{\beta t}$ ,

where  $\{(\tilde{\mu}_t, \delta_{\beta t})\}$  are the generalized Boolean semigroups from (A, Młotkowski).

# Two-state free Meixner distributions.

A, Młotkowski  $\Rightarrow \{(\tilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t)\}$  form a two-state free convolution semigroup, where

$$\tilde{\mu}_t \sim \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\beta}t, & \tilde{b} + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & \dots \\ \tilde{\gamma}t, & \tilde{c} + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mu_t \sim \begin{pmatrix} \beta t, & b + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & \dots \\ \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$J[\tilde{\mu}_t] \sim \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & \dots \\ \tilde{c} + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Two-state free Meixner distributions.

$$J[\tilde{\mu}_t] \sim \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & \dots \\ \tilde{c} + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

so  $J[\tilde{\mu}_t] = \tilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$ , where

$$\tilde{\rho} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}, & b, & b, & b, & \dots \\ \tilde{c}, & c, & c, & c, & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tau \sim \begin{pmatrix} \beta, & \beta + b - \tilde{b}, & \beta + b - \tilde{b}, & \beta + b - \tilde{b}, & \dots \\ \gamma, & \gamma + c - \tilde{c}, & \gamma + c - \tilde{c}, & \gamma + c - \tilde{c}, & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Two-state free Meixner distributions.

$$\tau \sim \left( \beta, \beta + b - \tilde{b}, \beta + b - \tilde{b}, \beta + b - \tilde{b}, \dots \right), \\ \left( \gamma, \gamma + c - \tilde{c}, \gamma + c - \tilde{c}, \gamma + c - \tilde{c}, \dots \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{c} \geq 0, \quad \gamma > 0.$$

So:

- For  $c \geq \tilde{c}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathcal{ID}^{\oplus}$ .
- For  $c \geq \tilde{c} - \gamma$ ,  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ .
- For  $c < \tilde{c} - \gamma$ ,  $\tau$  is not a positive functional. But  $\tau^{\oplus p}$  is positive for  $p \geq (\tilde{c} - c)/\gamma$ .